SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: So, I would like to know as to who is the member under these -categories... *(Interruptions)*

MR. CHAIRMAN; He is enquiring into the whole thing and he will let you know.

SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: You are talking about the Class IV. Therefore, I must say that Class IV employees are also important.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Snrimati Sushma Swaraj.

श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज : सभापति जी, मैं आपके माध्यम से मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्री से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि मौजूदा सरकारी "प्रबन्ध में कर्मचारियों की सहभागिता" के सिद्धांत को मानती है और इसी सिद्धान्त के प्रति अपनी प्रतिबद्धता जाहिर करने के लिए आज ही सदन में एक बिल भी ला रही है तो केन्द्रीय विद्यालय संगठन के कर्मचारियों की यह मांग कि बोर्ड आफ गवर्नर्स के ऊपर उनकी भी शिरकत हो, उनको भी प्रतिनिधित्व दिया जाय, इस सिद्धान्त से मेल खाती हैं और जब इस बात को सिद्धान्त रूप से यह सरकार स्वीकार करती है तो मंत्री महोदय को दिक्कत क्या है आश्वासन देने में कि केन्द्रीय संगठन के कर्मचारियों को भी बोर्ड आफ गवर्नर्स में रिप्रेजन्टेशन दिया जायेगा जब कि औद्योगिक इकाइयों को मैनेजमेंट में सहभागिता देने के लिये आज ही एक बिल राज्य सभा में प्रस्तुत किया जा रहा है।

श्री चिमनभाई मेहता : सर आई हैव आलरेडी सैड, मैंने कहा है कि 22 अगस्त को बोर्ड आफ गवर्नर्स की मीटिंग हो रही है। हमारा एटिच्यूट पाजिटिव है। हमने लेबर पार्टिसिपेशन आफ मैनेजमेंट की बात कही है। हम लेबर को सिर्फ लेबर नहीं गिनते टीचर्स को भी लेबर में गिनते हैं। इतना कहने के बाद मैं कहता हूं कि हमारा एक्जीक्यूशन पाजिटिव है। बोर्ड आफ गवर्नर्स को डिसीजन लेने दीजिए उसके बाद हम यहां आयेंगे।

श्री प्रमोद महाजन : क्या आप एक बार एश्योरेंस देंगे ?

श्री हेच हनुमनतप्पा : टीचरों को लेबर में गिनाने की बात मंत्री महोदय ने कही है तो क्या इंडस्ट्रीयल डिस्प्यूट एक्ट उनपर लागू होगा ? आप बतलाइये।

Controversial appointments in Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad

~*9. SHRI V. GOPALSAMI:
SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKATRAMAN: f

Will the PRIME! MINISTER be pleased to state: (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item which appeared in Indian Express, dated the 12th June, 1990 under the captions "IIM UNDER KRISHNAMURTHY";

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether any enquiry lias been ordered into the appointments which are controversial;

(d) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(e) what steps are being taken to 6ei the Indian Institute of Manage ment, Ahmedabad in order?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The news item mainly alleges irregularities committed by a Pro-

fThe question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri Tindivanam G. Venkatraman.

fessor of the Indian Institute of Mana gement, Ahmedabad and his being considered for appointment as Direc tor.

(c) to (e) Pacts of the case were collected from the Institute. It has been confirmed that the Professor concerned earned US $ 10,000 as royalty from the World Bank. The Chairman has directed that, to avoid recurrence of such embarrassing situations and to ensure fairness, the rules and norms, governing individual income and related matters should be clearly formulated by the Institute.

SHRI TINDIVANAM: G. VEN-KATSAMAN: Sir, I have seen the reply. I would humbly submit that the question has not been answered properly. The royalty, the amount, received we 3 10,000 dollars. According to the Institute's regulations, one-third has to be given to the faculty. It has not been given. What has happened to this amount?

SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Sir, royalty is not sharable. Consultancy fee is sharaale. This is, generally, the guideline bning observed. *(Interruptions)* Royalty is not parted with. It is kept with the person concerned who is the author. It is kept with the person with whom the contract is signed. It is only royalty. Therefore, there is no question of parting with that amount with the Institute.

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKAT-RAMAN: I beg to differ. The Committee has found out. It is only consultancy fee. One-third of it has to be shared with the Institute. This has not been remitted. They also recom-mended it.

Moreover, a senior professor, one Mr. V. L. Mote, has been overlooked. This point also has to be answered.

SHRl CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Sir, this is the reply given by the Director. *(Interruptions,)*,

SHRI S. K. T. RAMACHANDRAN: I would like to ask the hon. Minister whether he is the proxy of the Director?

SHRI CHljMANBHAI MEHTA: Royalty amounts have never been shared in the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, in the past. It is, however, well-understood that the definition of 'royalty' is limited, to the income earned from the regular publication of books and periodicals in terms of an explicit and implicit contract. So, there is no ques-ton of consultation fee. In the contract that is signed, the letter that the World Bank has written to the Professor concerned, the word 'royalty' is mentioned.

Secondly, about somebody being overlooked in seniority, now the appointment of Director in the IIM is not on the basis of seniority. There is a selection committee, threeman Committee, which goes into that question. They look into the qualifications, etc. of all the persons throughout India. The Director should not be from the same Institute. Therefore, the question of seniority does not arise.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: This is the one hundred and second committee which has been appointed up till now. Every time they are talking about a committee.

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VEN-KATRAMAN: It is not royalty, it is consultation fee only. A majority of the members of the faculty have said that the professor concerned should be sacked. He has pocketed the en-tbe money. That is the finding. According to the news report he should remit one-third to the Institute.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Have you got any other information other than the press report? Are you depending on the press report only? is there the